UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JANE DOE, a minor, by and through her natural parent, GRACE DOE,

Case No. 3:18-cv-00428-LRH-WGC

ORDER

Plaintiff,

V.

CARSON CITY, a consolidated municipality and political subdivision of the State of Nevada; JAYE PHILLIPS, individually; JACOBS ENTERTAINMENT, INC., a foreign corporation,

Defendants.

Detendan

Defendant Carson City has filed a motion to dismiss (ECF No. 11) the amended complaint of plaintiff Jane Doe¹ (ECF No. 7). Defendant Jaye Phillips joined the motion to dismiss on November 13, 2018. (ECF No. 19). Following the closure of briefing, it came to the Court's attention that a subsequently filed case by a Doe plaintiff (3:18-cv-00538-HDM-WGC) ("Doe II") was based upon similar questions of fact and law as this action. The presiding judges conferred and, pursuant to Local Rule 42-1, the subsequently filed case was reassigned to this judge. Prior to reassignment, the court denied Carson City's similar motion to dismiss in the Doe II case. (Doe II, ECF No. 21).

The Court has reviewed Carson City's motion to dismiss in this action and plaintiff's amended complaint, and the Court reaches a similar conclusion as was reached under the facts and

¹ The Court previously granted plaintiff's motion to proceed under a pseudonym because of the nature of her allegations. (ECF No. 5).

law applicable in *Doe II*. Plaintiff has sufficiently placed Carson City on notice of the allegations she has lodged against it by alleging the specific facts required under *Iqbal* and *Twombly*. *Ashcroft* v. *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 667 (2009) (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). Based upon the specific facts alleged in plaintiff's amended complaint and the reasonable inferences which arise from the pleaded facts, the Court concludes that plaintiff has set forth sufficient statements of each claim sufficient to satisfy the pleading requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and has given the defendants fair notice of each claim and the grounds upon which it rests.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant Carson City's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 11) is **DENIED**.

DATED this 26 day of March, 2019.

LARRY R. HICKS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE